

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/608,004	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CARLSSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas R. Artman	<b>Art Unit</b> 2882	

**All Participants:**

- (1) Thomas R. Artman.
- (2) Ellen Marcie Emas, Reg. No. 32,131.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (3) \_\_\_\_\_
- (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 12 July 2005
**Time:** afternoon
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*Double Patenting rejection*
**Claims discussed:**
*1 and 20*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Amended (and allowed) claims of 10/607994.*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner indicated that claims 1-30 were allowable over the prior art. The double patenting rejection was discussed. Applicants agreed to file a terminal disclaimer.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)